UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA DURHAM DIVISION

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 22-cv-715

GREG E. LINDBERG, CHRISTOPHER HERWIG, and STANDARD ADVISORY SERVICES LIMITED, Honorable Catherine E. Eagles Magistrate Judge Joi Elizabeth Peake

Defendants.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMMISSION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS GREG LINDBERG AND STANDARD ADVISORY SERVICES LIMITED

Dated: March 10, 2025 Alyssa A. Qualls (quallsa@sec.gov)

Kevin A. Wisniewski (wisniewskik@sec.gov)

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 1450

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 886-0804

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Defendants Greg E. Lindberg ("Lindberg") and Standard Advisory Services Limited ("SASL") do not dispute that they violated Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"). Lindberg's Response to the SEC's Motion for Summary Judgment does not dispute the SEC's recitation of the facts or the application of the law to those undisputed facts. (Dkt. No. 112.) SASL did not respond to the SEC's Motion altogether. Accordingly, since the Defendants do not contest their liability, there is nothing left for a jury to decide at the trial currently scheduled for May 5, 2025. (Dkt. No. 113.)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 provides that "[i]f a party ... fails to properly address another party's assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), ... the court may consider the fact undisputed for purpose of that motion" and "grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials—including the facts considered undisputed—show that the movant is entitled to it." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2)-(3). Additionally, under Local Rule 7.3(k), when a party fails "to file a brief or response [to a motion] within the time specified ... the motion will be considered and decided as an uncontested motion, and ordinarily will be granted without further notice." However, the court "must review the motion, even if unopposed, and determine from what it has before it whether the moving party is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law." Robinson v. Wix Filtration Corp., 599 F.3d 403, 409 n.8 (4th Cir. 2010) (quoting Custer v. Pan Am. Life Ins. Co., 12 F.3d 410, 416 (4th Cir. 1993)); see also, e.g., Sosa v. Advance Auto Parts, No. 03-CV-00587 2004 WL 953508, at *4 (M.D.N.C. Apr. 30, 2004) (treating unopposed summary judgment motion as

uncontested and granting motion because nonresponding party failed to provide any facts from which reasonable jury could find in his favor).

Here, because (1) the SEC's Motion is uncontested, (2) Lindberg and SASL have neither advanced specific facts nor raised genuine disputes as to any of the material facts set forth in the SEC's Motion, and (3) they do not contest that these uncontroverted facts constitute violations of the Advisers Act, the SEC is entitled to summary judgment against Lindberg and SASL for all of the reasons set forth in the SEC's Motion and Memorandum in support thereof. (Dkt. Nos. 105, 106.)

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant the SEC's motion for summary judgment as to Defendants Lindberg and SASL on Counts I and II.

BRIEF CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Rules 7.3(d) and 56.1(c), the SEC certifies that this brief contains 422 words, excluding the caption, signature lines, and certificate of service.

Dated: March 10, 2025 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kevin A. Wisniewski

Alyssa A. Qualls (quallsa@sec.gov)
Kevin A. Wisniewski (wisniewskik@sec.gov)
SEC Chicago Regional Office
175 West Jackson Blvd, Suite 1450
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 353-7390

Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I provided service of the foregoing document, via ECF filing, to all counsel of record on March 10, 2025.

/s/ Kevin A. Wisniewski

Gregory E. Lindberg

Alisson Mullins
L. Cooper Harrell
Turning Point Litigation Mullins Duncan
Harrell & Russell PLLC
300 North Greene Street, Suite 2000
Greensboro, NC 27401
amullins@turningpointlit.com
charrell@turningpointlit.com

Ryan J. Meyer
Brandon McCarthy
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
2121 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1100
Dallas, TX 75201
Ryan.Meyer@katten.com
Brandon.McCarthy@katten.com

Attorneys for Defendant Gregory E. Lindberg

Christopher Herwig

Claire Rauscher
Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP
One Wells Fargo Center, Suite 3500
301 South College Street
Charlotte, NC 28202
Claire.Rauscher@wbd-us.com

Britt Biles Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP 2001 K Street, NW, Suite 400 South Washington, DC 20006 Britt.Biles@wbd-us.com

Counsel for Defendant Christopher Herwig

Standard Advisory Services Limited

Mark A. Jones Alan M. Ruley Bell Davis & Pitt, P.A. POB 21029 Winston-Salem, NC 27120 mjones@belldavispitt.com aruley@belldavidpitt.com

Attorneys for Defendant Standard Advisory Services Limited